

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,701	12/0	06/2005	Catherine Abbadie	21156YP	1960	
210 MERCK	7590	03/17/2010		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 200				PAGONAKIS, ANNA		
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1628	•	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				03/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/559,701	ABBADIE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANNA PAGONAKIS	1628		

	74447776664446	1020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expraision date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tr	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,	,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) provided how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			

/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642 Continuation of 3 NOTE:

Applicant's after-final amendment filed 3/4/2010 will not be entered into the record because the amendment to claims 2 and 4 raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.

Applicant's after-final amendment proposes amendment of claims 2 and 4. Specifically, Applicant has added the limitation "thereof, wherein the treatment does not include prevention or prophylactic therapy." The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search were such amendments to be entered into the record.

In addition, the proposed claim amendments are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal because they raise new issues that require consideration under 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112 and the additional search and examination of the newly added limitation was not considered or searched.

Accordingly, the proposed after-final amendment of 3/4/2010 will not be entered into the record because it raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search as noted supra, and therefore does not materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the present application in light of the amendments and remarks proposed and presented in the after-final amendment has been made. In light of the fact that the proposed amendments to the claims will not be entered into the record, the according remarks are not found persuasive.